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A method of calculating round scores is presented which is not dependent on the assumption of a normal distribution of scores.

Summary of Method

1. The scoring distribution of individual holes is computed for the player rating of interest.
2. Hole scores are randomly selected from those distribution and added up to get round scores.
3. This simulation is repeated until the results are reliable and the results tabulated.

## Reasons

The distribution of rounds scores is likely not normally distributed, because it is not symmetrical. Very low scores are harder to get than very high scores. This is a result of the asymmetry of the distribution of scores for each hole. A hole that has a median score of 3 is far more likely to generate some 5 s than some 1s.

## Case Study

I looked at calculating the probability that Paul McBeth would get a 45 on the Toboggan course at the 2018 Great Lakes Open.

Using hole-by-hole-by-player scores, I computed the following scoring distributions for a 1044-rated player. The method is the same as I have described for calculating scoring distributions for 1000-rated players for determining par.

| Hole \# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feet | 477 | 726 | 915 | 580 | 300 | 632 | 483 | 462 | 452 |
| Average | 2.84 | 4.06 | 3.65 | 3.46 | 2.82 | 3.49 | 3.10 | 2.53 | 2.82 |
| 1 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2 | $18.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 3 | $78.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| 4 | $2.8 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.0 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 7 | $0.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 8 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 9 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hole \# | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Feet | 370 | 358 | 534 | 700 | 375 | 567 | 354 | 755 | 315 |
| Average | 2.75 | 2.66 | 3.03 | 3.44 | 2.50 | 3.16 | 2.65 | 3.20 | 2.79 |
| 1 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2 | $31.0 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ |
| 3 | $64.7 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| 4 | $2.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 5 | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 7 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 8 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| 9 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

The resulting distribution of round scores was as follows.

| Score | Probability | 1/Pr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 44 | $0.00007 \%$ | $1,346,006$ |
| 45 | $0.00082 \%$ | 122,364 |
| 46 | $0.0047 \%$ | 21,141 |
| 47 | $0.0285 \%$ | 3,514 |
| 48 | $0.137 \%$ | 731 |
| 49 | $0.512 \%$ | 195 |
| 50 | $1.56 \%$ | 63.9 |
| 51 | $3.85 \%$ | 25.9 |
| 52 | $7.69 \%$ | 13.0 |
| 53 | $12.43 \%$ | 8.05 |
| 54 | $16.32 \%$ | 6.13 |
| 55 | $17.65 \%$ | 5.67 |
| 56 | $15.55 \%$ | 6.43 |
| 57 | $11.35 \%$ | 8.81 |
| 58 | $6.94 \%$ | 14.4 |
| 59 | $3.55 \%$ | 28.1 |
| 60 | $1.56 \%$ | 64.2 |
| 61 | $0.583 \%$ | 172 |
| 62 | $0.193 \%$ | 517 |
| 63 | $0.0581 \%$ | 1,722 |
| 64 | $0.0152 \%$ | 6,566 |
| 65 | $0.00302 \%$ | 33,098 |
| 66 | $0.00067 \%$ | 149,544 |
| 67 | $0.00012 \%$ | 807,754 |
| 68 | $0.00010 \%$ | $1,010,101$ |
| 69 | $0.00002 \%$ | $4,032,258$ |

The best fit normal distribution to this is mean of 54.95 and standard deviation of 2.27.
The following chart shows the above distribution, the best fir normal and a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 2.7.


